European Respiratory Society Annual Congress 2013

Abstract Number: 3015 Publication Number: P1838

Abstract Group: 1.2. Rehabilitation and Chronic Care Keyword 1: Chronic disease Keyword 2: Monitoring Keyword 3: Rehabilitation

Title: Within-day test-retest reliability of the timed "up & go" test in patients with advanced chronic organ failure

Mr. Rafael 20007 Mesquita rafaelmesquita14@ymail.com ^{1,2,3}, Dr. Daisy J.A. 20008 Janssen daisyjanssen@ciro-horn.nl MD ¹, Prof. Dr Emiel F.M. 20009 Wouters e.wouters@mumc.nl MD ^{1,4}, Dr. Jos M.G.A. 20010 Schols jos.schols@maastrichtuniversity.nl MD ⁵, Prof. Dr Fabio 20011 Pitta fabiopitta@uol.com.br ³ and Dr. Martijn A. 20012 Spruit martijnspruit@ciro-horn.nl ¹. ¹ Program Development Center, Center of Expertise for Chronic Organ Failure (CIRO+), Horn, Netherlands ; ² Centro De Pesquisa Em Ciências Da Saúde, Centro De Ciências Biológicas e Da Saúde, Universidade Norte Do Paraná (UNOPAR), Londrina, Paraná, Brazil ; ³ Laboratório De Pesquisa Em Fisioterapia Pulmonar (LFIP), Departamento De Fisioterapia, Universidade Estadual De Londrina (UEL), Londrina, Paraná, Brazil ; ⁴ Department of Respiratory Medicine, Maastricht University Medical Center+ (MUMC+), Maastricht, Netherlands and ⁵ Department of General Practice and Department of Health Services Research, Faculty of Health Medicine and Life Sciences / CAPHRI, Maastricht University, Maastricht, Netherlands .

Body: Background: The Timed "Up & Go" test (TUG) has been scarcely used in patients with advanced chronic organ failure, such as Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD), Chronic Heart Failure (CHF), or Chronic Renal Failure (CRF). Before its recommendation in clinical practice, the reliability of this test needs to be determined in these populations. We aimed to investigate the within-day test-retest reliability of the TUG in patients with advanced COPD, CHF, and CRF. Methods: 235 subjects (64% men, age 70[61-77]years; BMI 26[23-29]kg• m⁻²) with advanced COPD (n=95), CHF (n=68), or CRF (n=72) were evaluated. The time to complete the TUG was assessed in three trials performed on the same day. The Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC), κ coefficient, Standard Error of Measurement (SEM), and absolute and relative Minimal Detectable Change (MDC) values were calculated. Results: Good agreement was observed for both the total sample and subgroups (ICCs from 0.85 to 0.98, and κ coefficients from 0.49 to 1.00). However, statistical improvement occurred in the total sample from the 1st to the 2nd trial with large limits of agreement (mean difference [95% CI] -0.97[+3.00 to -4.94] s, p<0.05). The 3rd trial added little or no information to the first two trials. For the total sample, values of SEM around 1.6 s, MDC_{95%} around 4.5 s, and MDC_{95%}% around 35% were found between the first two trials, with close values found for the subgroups. Conclusions: The TUG is reliable in patients with advanced COPD, CHF, or CRF after two trials. Values of SEM and MDC were established and can be used in clinical practice to define what is expected and what represents true change in repeated measures.