European Respiratory Society Annual Congress 2012

Abstract Number: 3552

Publication Number: 4315

Abstract Group: 4.2. Sleep and Control of Breathing

Keyword 1: Apnoea / Hypopnea Keyword 2: Sleep disorders Keyword 3: Treatments

Title: Analysis of arousability of upper airway stimulation in obstructive sleep apnea

Prof. Johan 19883 Verbraecken johan.verbraecken@uza.be MD ¹, Dr. Joachim T 19884 Maurer joachim.maurer@umm.de MD ², Dr. Lennart 19885 Knaack knaack@intersom.de MD ³, Dr. Winfried 19886 Hohenhorst mail@hohenhorst.com MD ⁴, Dr. Olivier 19887 Vanderveken olivier.vanderveken@uza.be MD ⁵, Prof. Paul 19888 Van de Heyning paul.van.de.heyning@uza.be MD ⁵ and Prof. Wilfried 19893 De Backer wilfried.de.backer@uza.be MD ¹. ¹ Dept of Pulmonary Medicine, Antwerp University Hospital, Antwerp, Belgium, B-2650 ; ² HNO-Klinik, Universitaetsmedizin Mannheim, Germany, D-68167 ; ³ Zentrum für Schlafmedizin & Schlafforschung, Intersom Köln, Köln, Germany, D-50670 ; ⁴ Klinik für Hals-, Nasen- und Ohrenheilkunde, St. Anna-Klinik Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany, D-42109 and ⁵ Dept of ENT, Antwerp University Hospital, Antwerp, Belgium, B-2650 .

Body: Background: Previous studies showed that electrical stimulation of the hypoglossal nerve (N XII) can improve obstructive sleep apnea (OSA). In this study we looked to the effect on the different arousals indices in both responders and non-responders. Methods: Upper Airway Stimulation (Inspire Medical Systems, Inc) systems were implanted in moderate-to-severe OSA patients who failed, or were intolerant of CPAP. The system is intended to reduce sleep apnea by stimulating the N XII to advance the tongue-base. AHI (events/hr), Micro Arousal Index (MAI, events/hr), total number of arousals and respiratory arousals were collected using lab-based PSG in 7 patients including comparison of responders (n=4) vs. non-responders (n=3) at baseline (pre-implant) and 6-M post-implant.

Polysomnographic results

	Baseline (pre implant)	6 Months after implantation
Responders (N=4)		
AHI (/h sleep)	32±15	11±7**
MAI (/h sleep)	34±12	9±3*
Total number of arousals	170±55	55±16*
Total number of respiratory arousals	64±31	8±8*
Non-Responders (N=3)		
AHI (/h sleep)	35±14	53±14
MAI (/h sleep)	34±6	38±13

Total number of arousals	200±51	201±81
Total number of respiratory arousals	102±53	125±95

^{*} p<0.05, ** AHI reduction of 50% and <20

All arousal indices decreased statistically significantly in responders and there was no stimulation disturbance seen in the non-responder group. Conclusion: Upper Airway Stimulation to treat OSA has a clear therapy efficacy at 6-M post-implant in a selected group of moderate-to-severe OSA subjects and that there is no arousal effect of the stimulation itself. It confirms the non-arousal effect of N XII stimulation as shown in our earlier pilot study (Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2001;127:1216-23).